What to Consider When Selecting Tools That Best Match Research and Evaluation Efforts to Programmatic Goals

Melissa K. Demetrikopoulos, Ph.D.

External Evaluator
Chair, Program Development and Evaluation
Institute for Biomedical Philosophy













Relevance to Community:

1. Culturally Responsive

2. Linguistically Appropriate







Relevance to Community cont:

- 3. Participant Age and Generational Issues
- 4. Educational Level
- 5. Literacy Level

choices given (3, 5, or more) words vs symbols
Midpoint or Forced Choice







Relevance to Community cont:

NO

Sometimes

YES









Size of Project

- Qualitative vs Quantitative Balance (whole other talk)
- Confidentiality
 - –Can you Identify the Subjects?
- Demographics (gender, gender identity, race, age, etc)
 - Subsets (mentor, group, project)







Goals and Budget

- Does it Match Goals and Measurable Objectives
- Realistic Effort and Time
- Scope Creep







Participant Burden

- How many Questions/Time to Answer
- Number of Times you Ask Questions
- Attention (can you change from positive to negative)
- Sensitivity to Questions







Validity

Whole other talk......

Acknowledgements

Funded by:

National Science Foundation (NSF)

ITEST grant (DRL-1512957)



Lead Institution: Morehouse College

Partners:



- Atlanta Public School System
- Fayette County School System
- Spelman College
- NSF Noyce Teacher Scholarship Program (DUE-1240037)
- NSF DRK12 Grant (DRL-1119512)





Melissa K. Demetrikopoulos, Ph.D.

External Evaluator
Chair, Program Development and Evaluation
Institute for Biomedical Philosophy

www.BioPhi.org

mdemetr@biophi.org



Cynthia E. Trawick, Ed.D. Principal Investigator,
Willie S. Rockward, Ph.D. & Tiffany R. Bussey, D.B.A.
Co-Directors
Jamie P. Clayton, M.Ed. Program Manager
Morehouse College
Atlanta GA





